

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,738	12/24/2003	Akinori Taira	0649-0922P	9089	
2292	7590 04/28/2006		EXAMINER		
	WART KOLASCH	NGUYE	NGUYEN, LEE		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2618		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/743,7	'38	TAIRA ET AL.	TAIRA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
		LEE NGI		2618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with	n the correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F. HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and v will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICA went, however, may a rep will expire SIX (6) MONTI plication to become ABA	ATION. Note that the state of				
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
		2b)⊠ This action is	non-final					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	•	•	·				
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	Claim(s) 30-32 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	e Evaminer						
	•) objected to b	v the Examiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	=	•		FR 1.121(d).			
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner. N	lote the attached	Office Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		eceived in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	•						
* 8	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the cer	tified copies not re	eceived.				
•44-								
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) U Intonious Su	ımmary (PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/097,677, filed on 06/16, 1998.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed 12/24/2003 and 08/14/2004 have been considered and recorded in the file.

Specification

The amendment filed 12/24/2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: On page 2 of the Preliminary amendment, the term "spread" is new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct

Art Unit: 2618

from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 30-32 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 9 and 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,731,910. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitation recited in claims 1, 9 and 13 in U.S. Patent 6,731,910 cover the limitation as claimed in claims 30, 31 and 32, respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7854. The examiner can normally be reached on FIRST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDERSON D. MATTHEW can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,738 Page 4

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LEE NGUYEN/ PRIMARY EXAMINER